UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/226,597 01/07/1999 JULIO PIME		JULIO PIMENTEL	ANIT0018U-US	9844
31518 NEIFELD IP L	7590 09/10/200 AW , PC	EXAMINER		
4813-B EISEN	HOWER AVENUE	GABEL, GAILENE		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

general@neifeld.com rneifeld@neifeld.com rhahl@neifeld.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/226,597	PIMENTEL, JULIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GAILENE R. GABEL	1641		

		OF THEE THE THE OF THEE	1041	
T	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY	FILED 22 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
applica applica	oly was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tion, applicant must timely file one of the following tion in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe tinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cost	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔯 The	e period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
no e Exa	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (INTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later by earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing th Notice	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp e Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMEN</u>				
(a)⊠ 1 (b)⊠ 1 (c)	roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
	appeal; and/or	correct and in a number of finally rais	atad alaima	
	They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
_	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		manliant Amaandmaant/F	TOL 224)
	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	710L-324).
6. Newly	ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For pui how the The sta Claim(s Claim(s Claim(s	powable claim(s). rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) le new or amended claims would be rejected is provatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: s) allowed: NONE. s) objected to: NONE. s) rejected: 1-5,12-19,22-25 and 32-35.		l be entered and an ex	planation of
,	s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> . DR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The aff becaus	idavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buse applicant failed to provide a showing of good and tearlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is ı	be entered necessary and
entered showing	idavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing I because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
	ffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. 🛛 The re	equest for reconsideration has been considered bu Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
12.	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/GAILENE R. GABEL/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1641	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 16, 25, and 32 have been amended to recite method and composition "for decreasing weight in mammals" which raises new issues that would require further consideration under the provisions of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for enablement, scope of enablement, and new matter, because careful review of Applicant's disclosure provides limited experimental pool in number of subjects as well as type of subjects intended to represent the mammalian species. Additionally, the method and composition described in the specification provides that the method and composition can be used for "decreasing the amount of body weight normally gained after eating food or as a result of eating food or per unit of food (page 1, lines 10-13, page 2, lines 7-9, and page 3, lines 24-26), all of which encompass a different scope from that which is currently claimed.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendment introduces new issues for consideration that render the claims distinct in scope in comparison to that which has been previously examined.